

# Gender Differences in Aphasia Outcomes: Evidence from the AphasiaBank

Saryu Sharma, Heather Harris Wright & Charles Ellis

Communication Equity and Outcomes Lab
Aging and Adult Language Disorders Lab
Department of Communication Sciences
and Disorders
College of Allied Health Sciences
East Carolina University
Greenville, North Carolina 27858

### INTRODUCTION

- Stroke is the fifth leading cause of death among American individuals [1]
- On average, 55,000 more women have a stroke each year compared to men [2]
- Each year, 100,000 individuals are diagnosed with aphasia following a stroke [3]
- Women may experience different aphasia outcomes than men due to differences in the underlying mechanisms that cause stroke

## RESEARCH QUESTIONS

- 1. Are there gender differences in the type of aphasia experienced with stroke?
- 2. Are there gender differences in the severity of aphasia as measured by the WAB-R Aphasia Quotient (AQ)?
- 3. Are there gender differences in the individual subtests of the WAB-R AQ?

# METHOD

- Participants:
- 300 PWA (175 men, 125 women)
- Mean age: 61.9 years
- Mean duration of aphasia: 5.2 years
- Mean years of SLP treatment: 3.2 years
- Data source: AphasiaBank
- Aphasia outcomes:
- o WAB-RAQ

samples t-

test

- WAB-R AQ subtest scores
- Aphasia types included: anomic, Broca's, Wernicke's, global, conduction aphasia, transcortical (motor, sensory, isolation) and other.
- Participants with WAB-R AQ > 93.8 were included for the study.

Statistical analyses

Independent Multivariate

Multivariate
Analysis of
Variance

IBM SPSS Version 22

## RESULTS

Table 1. Univariate comparisons of WAB-R AQ and WAB-R AQ Subtest scores by gender

	Total	Men	Women	p-value
WAB-R AQ (Mean/SD)	70.8 (19.9)	67.4 (21.3)	75.6 (16.6)	<.001
Aphasia Severity (%)				.001
<ul> <li>Severe aphasia</li> </ul>	4.8	8.1	0.0	
<ul> <li>Moderate Aphasia</li> </ul>	26.5	27.3	25.4	
<ul> <li>Mild Aphasia</li> </ul>	58.8	58.7	59	
<ul> <li>No Aphasia</li> </ul>	9.9	5.8	15.6	
Spontaneous Speech (Mean/SD)				
<ul> <li>Information Content</li> </ul>	7.7 (2.3)	7.3 (2.5)	8.2 (1.8)	<.001
• Fluency	6.3 (2.5)	5.9 (2.6)	6.8 (2.3)	.002
Repetition (Mean/SD)	65.3 (26.9)	61.28 (28.7)	70.8 (23.3)	<.001
Naming (Mean/SD)				
<ul> <li>Object Naming</li> </ul>	44.4 (16.8)	43.0 (17.8)	46.4 (15.2)	.082
Word Fluency	7.9 (5.2)	7.6 (5.3)	8.3 (5.0)	.289
Sentence Completion	8.0 (2.7)	7.6 (2.9)	8.6 (2.3)	.002
<ul> <li>Responsive Speech</li> </ul>	7.8 (3.1)	7.3 (3.4)	8.5 (2.5)	.002
Comprehension (Mean/SD)				
<ul> <li>Yes/No</li> </ul>	55.7 (5.3)	54.7 (5.9)	57.0 (4.0)	<.001
<ul> <li>Auditory Word Recognition</li> </ul>	53.4 (9.5)	52.0 (10.3)	55.4 (7.8)	.002
<ul> <li>Sequential Commands</li> </ul>	53.8 (22.6)	50.2 (23.1)	58.6 (21.0)	.002

Table 2. Estimated marginal means of multivariate comparisons of WAB-R AQ and subtest scores by gender

	Mean (95% Confidence Interval)			
	Men	Women	p-value	
WAB-R AQ	66.8 (63.4, 70.2)	75.1 (71.2, 79)	.002	
WAB-R Info Content	7.3 (6.9, 7.7)	8.2 (7.8, 8.6)	.002	
WAB-R Fluency	5.7 (5.3, 6.2)	6.7 (6.2, 7.2)	.004	
WAB-R Repetition	61.4 (56.9, 65.9)	70.9 (65.8, 76.1)	.001	
WAB-R Object Naming	42.2 (39.3, 45.1)	45.9 (42.5, 49.2)	.008	
WAB-R Word Fluency	7.4 (6.5, 8.2)	8.0 (7.0, 9.0)	.010	
WAB-R Sentence Completion	7.5 (7.1, 8.0)	8.4 (7.9, 9.0)	.007	
WAB-R Responsive Speech	7.2 (6.7, 7.8)	8.4 (7.8, 9.0)	.107	
WAB-R Yes/No	54.5 (53.6, 55.3)	56.7 (55.7, 57.8)	.332	
WAB-R Auditory Word Recognition	51.7 (50.1, 53.3)	55.1 (53.2, 56.9)	.013	
WAB-R Sequential Commands	49.6 (45.7, 53.4)	57.4 (52.9, 61.8)	.007	

### DISCUSSION

#### Findings:

- More men were classified as having Broca's aphasia than women. Atherosclerosis is common in Broca's aphasia which is found mostly in men [4]
- Men exhibited greater aphasia severity than women
  - Women engage bilateral hemispheres for language processing whereas men engage one hemisphere [5] which may predispose them to more severe disorders (i.e., aphasia) because of unilateral involvement post-stroke
- Men had poorer outcomes on WAB-R subtests than women with exception of responsive speech and comprehension of yes/no questions

#### Limitations:

- The total sample of individuals with aphasia in AphasiaBank have high levels of education which may not be representative of typical patients with aphasia
- The data included in AphasiaBank were not originally designed for the comparisons completed in this study

## REFERENCES

- 1.Benjamin EJ, Virani SS, Callaway CW, et al. Heart disease and stroke statistics. American Heart Association; 2018. DOI: <a href="https://doi.org/10.1161/CIR.0000000000000558">https://doi.org/10.1161/CIR.0000000000000558</a>
- 2. Bejamin EJ, Blaha MJ, Chiuve SE, et al. Heart Disease and Stroke Statistics-2017 Update A report from the American Heart Association. Circulation. 2017;135(10):e146-e603. 3.Ellis C, Dismuke C, Edwards KK. Longitudinal trends in aphasia in the United States. Neurorehabilitation. 2010;27(4): 327-333.
- 4.Hier DB, Yoon WB, Mohr JP, et al. Gender and aphasia in the stroke data bank. Brain Lang. 1994;47(1):155-167. 5.Bitan T, Lifshitz A, Breznitz Z, et al. Bidirectional Connectivity between Hemispheres Occurs at Multiple Levels in Language Processing but Depends on Sex. J Neurosci. 2010;30(35):11576-11585.

#### CONTACT

Saryu Sharma, Doctoral student Email: sharmas16@students.ecu.edu

Total Sample Demographic Characteristics and Aphasia Type by Gender

	Total			
	(N=300)	Men	Women	p-value
Age-Years (Mean/SD)	61.9 (12.5)	62.8 (11.5)	60.7 (13.8)	.142
Education-Years (Mean/SD)	15.4 (2.8)	15.6 (2.9)	15.2 (2.7)	.133
Handedness # (%)				
• Right	90.0	90.0	90.4	.750
• Left	6.0	6.9	4.8	
Ambidextrous/Unknown	4.0	3.1	4.8	
Aphasia Type (%)				.057
• Anomic	33.3	33.7	32.8	
• Broca's	24.3	27.4	19.2	
• Wernicke's	8.0	9.1	6.4	
• Global	1.3	2.3	0.0	
• Conduction	17.7	16.6	19.2	
Transcortical Motor	3.3	2.9	4.0	
Transcortical Sensory	0.7	0.0	1.6	
• Unknown	1.7	1.7	1.6	
• No Aphasia <sup>+</sup>	9.9	5.8	15.6	
Aphasia Duration-Years (Mean/SD)	5.2 (4.7)	5.4 (5.0)	4.8 (4.2)	.216
Years of SLP Treatment (Mean/SD)	3.2 (3.7)	3.7 (4.1)	2.6 (3.1)	.027